NUMERICAL METHOD FOR THE 2D SIMULATION OF THE RESPIRATION. *
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Abstract. In this article we are interested in the simulation of the air flow in the bronchial tree. The model we use has already been described in [2] and is based on a three part description of the respiratory tract. This model leads, after time discretization, to a Stokes system with non standard dissipative boundary conditions that cannot be easily and directly implemented in most FEM software, in particular in FreeFEM++ [11]. The objective is here to provide a new numerical method that could be implemented in any softwares. After describing the method, we illustrate it by two-dimensional simulations.

Résumé. Dans cet article nous nous intéressons à la simulation du flux d’air dans l’arbre bronchique. Le modèle que nous utilisons a déjà été décrit dans [2] et consiste en une description selon trois parties de l’arbre respiratoire. Ce modèle nous conduit, après discrétisation en temps, à un problème de Stokes avec des conditions au bord dissipatives non usuelles qui ne peuvent être implémentées facilement et directement dans la plupart des logiciels utilisant la méthode des éléments finis, en particulier FreeFEM++ [11]. L’objectif ici, est d’apporter une méthode de résolution implémentable dans tout logiciel EFM. Après une description de la méthode, nous l’illustrerons par des simulations 2D.

INTRODUCTION

Breathing involves gas transport through the respiratory tract with its visible ends, nose and mouth. The bronchial tree which ends in the alveoli is embedded in a viscoelastic tissue, the whole being enclosed below by the diaphragm and laterally by the chest wall. The air movement is achieved by the displacement of the diaphragm and of the connective tissue framework of the lung (in the sequel we will talk about the parenchyma). The respiratory tract has a quite complex geometry: it is a tree composed by 23 generations which should be implemented (see Fig. 1). At the time being, the distal airways from generation 9 cannot be visualized/segmented by common medical imaging technologies for instance. Consequently it is necessary to elaborate some simple
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but realistic mathematical model, in order to provide a better understanding of the different lung pathologies and to supply to the limit of medical imaging.

The model of the respiratory tract we consider has already been described by C. Grandmont, Y. Maday and B. Maury in [9] and previously in [2], [10], [18] where similar models are presented. Note that the same kind of multiscale models arises also for blood flow simulations (see for instance [15], [16] and [7]). The idea is to decompose the respiratory tract in three parts:

- **the upper part** (up to the 7th–9th generation), where the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations hold to describe the fluid;
- **the distal part** (from the 8th–10th to the 17th generation), where one can assume that the Poiseuille law is satisfied in each bronchiole;
- **the acini**, where the oxygen diffusion takes place and which are embedded in an elastic medium, the parenchyma. We will suppose that the pressure is uniform in the acini, equal to $P_a$ (an average alveolar pressure), and that they are embedded in a box representing the parenchyma. The motion of the diaphragm and the parenchyma is described by a simple spring model. Figure 2 illustrates, in a synthetic way, this multiscale decomposition: in the proximal part $\Omega$ we assume that the Navier–Stokes equations hold true and that they are coupled with Poiseuille flows which are themselves coupled with a spring motion. This spring describes the motion of the diaphragm muscle that is supposed to move in only one direction.

The inlet of $\Omega$ is denoted by $\Gamma_0$, and the outlets are denoted by $\Gamma_i$, $1 \leq i \leq N$. These outlets are coupled with Poiseuille flows, which are characterized by equivalent resistances $R_i$ that depend on geometrical properties (length and diameter of the bronchus of each $i$-th subtree). The constant $k$ represents the stiffness of the spring (that characterizes the elastic behavior of the parenchyma), and $m$ is the total mass of the lung.

The paper is organized as follows: in a first part, we present the coupled system and its variational formulation. Then we describe the numerical method we use. After time discretization of the coupled problem, we obtain a Stokes like system with non standard boundary conditions involving the air flux at the interface. The difficulty is then to treat these dissipative boundary conditions with, for instance, the FEM software FreeFEM++. The strategy we chose is to decompose the solution into a linear combination of pre–computed elementary solutions and into a correction term corresponding to the advance in time of the problem. Each of these quantities are the solution of a Stokes problem with standard mixed Dirichlet–Neumann boundary conditions. Next, the coefficients of the linear combination are calculated so that the dissipative boundary conditions are satisfied. One has to note that such a method has already been described in a slightly different context (see [8, 20]).
those papers, the authors has to deal with defective boundary conditions. To compute properly the solution they think their defective boundary conditions as constraints and get a formulation involving Lagrange multipliers. Numerically this formulation brings some features that are close to ours and to reduce the problem to simple Stokes problems a splitting method is used. In the last part, we present numerical results, and check that our model, for appropriate parameters, could reproduce some aspects of respiration.

1. Problem setting

1.1. The coupled model

In the upper part, denoted by $\Omega$ (see Fig. 2), we assume that the Navier–Stokes equations hold:

$$\begin{cases}
\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \rho (u \cdot \nabla) u - \mu \Delta u + \nabla p = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\nabla \cdot u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_\ell, \\
\mu \nabla u \cdot n - p n = -\Pi_0 n & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\
\mu \nabla u \cdot n - p n = -\Pi_i n & \text{on } \Gamma_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N, 
\end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $u$ and $p$ are respectively the fluid velocity and the fluid pressure. On the lateral boundary $\Gamma_\ell$, we impose no–slip boundary conditions on the velocity, whereas on the artificial boundary $\Gamma_i$, $0 \leq i \leq N$ we consider a pressure force exerted on the boundary. The pressure $\Pi_0$ is given equal to $P_0$ the atmospheric pressure, whereas the pressures $\Pi_i$ are unknown and depend on the downstream parts.

Each of the distal subtrees should be a dyadic tree in which we assume that the flow is laminar. Thus, by analogy with an electric network (see for instance [3]), we can consider that the flow is characterized by a unique equivalent resistance of the conducting airways (referred to as lumped model) that depends on each resistance of the local branches (see for instance [13]). Thus, each of the subtrees is replaced by a cylindrical domain, where the flow satisfies Poiseuille’s law:

$$\Pi_i - P_a = R_i \int_{\Gamma_i} u \cdot n, \quad R_i \geq 0. \quad (2)$$
Thanks to the relation (2), the boundary conditions at the outlets $\Gamma_i$ write
\[ \mu \nabla u \cdot n - pn = -P_d n - R_i \left( \int_{\Gamma_i} u \cdot n \right) n \text{ on } \Gamma_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N. \] (3)

These are non standard nonlocal boundary conditions that link the fluid stress tensor and its flux that arise also in blood flow modelling (see [7]). Note that they induce dissipation in the system (see [2]). We will call this type of boundary conditions the \textit{natural dissipative} boundary conditions. Next, the lung is enclosed in a box and one part of this box is connected to a spring that governs the diaphragm and parenchyma motion. The equation satisfied by the position $x$ of the diaphragm writes
\[ m \ddot{x} = -kx + f_{ext} + P_a S, \] (4)

where $f_{ext}$ is the force developed by the diaphragm during inspiration and expiration. It will be the driven force of the respiration. In order to couple this simple ODE to the upper part of the model, we have to define $f_P$ that stands for the pressure force applied by the flow on the elastic medium. If we denote by $S$ the surface of the moving box (corresponding to the diaphragm surface), we have
\[ f_P = P_a S, \] (5)

thus
\[ P_a = \frac{m}{S} \ddot{x} + \frac{k}{S} x - \frac{f_{ext}}{S}. \] (6)

Moreover, since we assume that the parenchyma is made of an incompressible medium, the flow volume variation at the outlets is equal to the volume variation of the parenchyma box, thus we have
\[ S \dot{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Gamma_i} u \cdot n = -\int_{\Gamma_0} u \cdot n. \] (7)

Note that since the flow is incompressible and since $u = 0$ on $\Gamma_\ell$, then
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Gamma_i} u \cdot n = -\int_{\Gamma_0} u \cdot n. \] (8)

Thus the coupled problem can be written as follows
\[
\begin{aligned}
\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \rho (u \cdot \nabla) u - \mu \Delta u + \nabla p &= 0, &\text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\
\nabla \cdot u &= 0, &\text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\
u &= 0, &\text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_\ell, \\
\mu \nabla u \cdot n - pn &= -P_d n, &\text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_0, \\
\mu \nabla u \cdot n - pn &= -P_a n - R_i \left( \int_{\Gamma_i} u \cdot n \right) n, &\text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N, \\
m \ddot{x} + kx &= f_{ext} + S P_a, \\
S \dot{x} &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Gamma_i} u \cdot n = -\int_{\Gamma_0} u \cdot n.
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\] (9)
This system of equations has to be completed by suitable initial conditions

\[
\begin{cases}
(u, x, \dot{x})|_{t=0} = (u_0, x_0, x_1), \\
\nabla \cdot u = 0, \ u_0 = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \ell, \ \text{and } Sx_1 = -\int_{\Gamma_0} u_0 \cdot n.
\end{cases}
\]

One particularity of this system is that all the outlets \( \Gamma_i, 1 \leq i \leq N \) are coupled.

By setting \( \bar{p} = p - P_a \), according to (6) and since the total volume is preserved, \( i.e. \int x = -\int_{\Gamma_0} u \cdot n \), the coupled system can be simplified as follows

\[
\begin{cases}
\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \rho(u \cdot \nabla)u - \mu \Delta u + \nabla p = 0, \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\
\nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\
\mu \nabla u \cdot n - \bar{p} n = -P_\ell n - \frac{f_{ext}}{S} n - \frac{m}{S} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} u \cdot n \right) n, \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma \ell, \\
\mu \nabla u \cdot n - \bar{p} n = -R_i \left( \int_{\Gamma_i} u \cdot n \right) n, \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_i.
\end{cases}
\]

Consequently, the coupled system (9) reduces to the Navier–Stokes equations, with fluid pressure replaced by the difference between fluid pressure and alveolar pressure, and with \textit{generalized natural dissipative} boundary conditions. Note that the incompressibility assumption is essential here.

\textbf{Remark 1.1.} We have used the relation \( \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Gamma_i} u \cdot n = -\int_{\Gamma_0} u \cdot n \) to simplify system (9), to decouple the outlets \( \Gamma_i \) and obtain (11). Nevertheless all what will be done hereafter could also be done without using this relation.

\subsection{1.2. Variational formulation}

We introduce the following functional space \( V = \{v \in H^1(\Omega)^d, \nabla \cdot v = 0, v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \ell\} \). Assuming that all the unknowns are regular enough, we multiply the Navier–Stokes equations by a test–field \( v \) which vanishes on \( \Gamma \ell \), and the spring equation by \( -(1/S) \int_{\Gamma_0} v \cdot n \). Using

\[
x = x_0 - \frac{1}{S} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_0} u \cdot n,
\]

we obtain
Next, considering test functions \( v \) that are divergence free (i.e. \( v \in V \)), we obtain a second variational formulation of the coupled problem (11)

\[
\begin{aligned}
\rho \int_{\Gamma_0} \partial_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \rho \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mu \int_{\Omega} \nabla \mathbf{u} : \nabla \mathbf{v} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} R_i \left( \int_{\Gamma_i} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{u} \right) \left( \int_{\Gamma_i} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) \\
+ \frac{m}{S^2} \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \partial_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) + \frac{k}{S^2} \left( \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) \\
- \int_{\Omega} \rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + P_0 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Gamma_i} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} - \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) \\
= -P_0 \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} - \frac{f_{ext}}{S} \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} + \frac{k}{S} S x_0 \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in V.
\end{aligned}
\]

Note that here, we have expressed all the quantities with the help of the fluid velocity. The velocity of the spring can be simply recovered thanks to the identity \( S \ddot{\mathbf{z}} = -\int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} \).

2. Numerical method

In this section we will first present the numerical method on a linear problem obtained by omitting the convection terms. Then we will explain how it can be adapted to the general case.

2.1. The Stokes system

In this section, we are interested in the following linear coupled system:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} - \mu \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla p &= 0, \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= 0, \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\
\mathbf{u} &= 0, \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_\ell, \\
\mu \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} - p \mathbf{n} &= -P_0 \mathbf{n} - \frac{f_{ext}}{S} \mathbf{n} - \frac{m}{S^2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) \mathbf{n} \\
&\quad - \frac{k}{S^2} \left( \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} - S x_0 \right) \mathbf{n}, \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_0, \\
\mu \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} - p \mathbf{n} &= -R_i \left( \int_{\Gamma_i} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) \mathbf{n}, \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_i.
\end{aligned}
\]

First we start to discretize in time the coupled problem. Let \( \delta t > 0 \) be the time step, and \( t^n = n \delta t, n \in \mathbb{N} \). We denote by \( \mathbf{u}^n \) and \( p^n \) the approximate solution at time \( t^n \). The time-integral term \( \int_{0}^{t^n} \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} \) is the
following

\[ \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} \approx \sum_{j=0}^n \left( \delta t \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{u}_j \cdot \mathbf{n} \right). \]  

(15)

The time discretization reads as follows

\[
\begin{aligned}
\rho \frac{\mathbf{u}^n}{\delta t} &- \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}^n + \nabla p^n = \rho \frac{\mathbf{u}^{n-1}}{\delta t}, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^n &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\mathbf{u}^n &= 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_\ell, \\
\mu \nabla \mathbf{u}^n \cdot \mathbf{n} - p^n \mathbf{n} &= -\left[ P_0 + \frac{F^n_{\text{ext}}}{S} - \frac{k x_0}{S} + \frac{k \delta t}{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{u}_j \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) - \frac{m}{S^2 \delta t} \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{u}^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) \right] \mathbf{n}, & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\
\mu \nabla \mathbf{u}^n \cdot \mathbf{n} - p^n \mathbf{n} &= -R_i \left( \int_{\Gamma_i} \mathbf{u}^n \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) \mathbf{n}, & \text{on } \Gamma_i \quad i = 1, \ldots, N. \\
\end{aligned}
\]  

(16)

In the sequel, we use the following notations

\[
F^n = P_0 + \frac{F^n_{\text{ext}}}{S} - \frac{k x_0}{S} + \frac{k \delta t}{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{u}_j \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) - \frac{m}{S^2 \delta t} \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{u}^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) 
\]  

(17)

and

\[
R_i^0 = \frac{m}{S^2 \delta t} \frac{k \delta t}{S^2}. 
\]  

(18)

Note that \( F^n \) is known as soon as the velocities at the previous time steps have been computed. The problem (16) can then be written as

\[
\begin{aligned}
\rho \frac{\mathbf{u}^n}{\delta t} &- \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}^n + \nabla p^n = \rho \frac{\mathbf{u}^{n-1}}{\delta t}, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^n &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\mathbf{u}^n &= 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_\ell, \\
\mu \nabla \mathbf{u}^n \cdot \mathbf{n} - p^n \mathbf{n} &= -F^n \mathbf{n} - R_0^\delta \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{u}^n \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) \mathbf{n}, & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\
\mu \nabla \mathbf{u}^n \cdot \mathbf{n} - p^n \mathbf{n} &= -R_i \left( \int_{\Gamma_i} \mathbf{u}^n \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) \mathbf{n}, & \text{on } \Gamma_i \quad i = 1, \ldots, N. \\
\end{aligned}
\]  

(19)

Consequently, after time discretization, we obtain a generalized Stokes problem with natural dissipative boundary conditions. These unusual boundary conditions coming from the resistance and the mass–spring time–discretization modify the standard bilinear forms associated to a Stokes problem with mixed Dirichlet–Neumann boundary conditions. In particular, if we consider a finite element discretization, they would couple all the degree of freedom at each outlet and change the finite elements matrix pattern associated to the velocity degrees of freedom. Consequently, they cannot be easily and directly implemented in any FEM solver, for instance in FreeFEM++, without going deeply into the code.

To get rid of this difficulty, we use the following method. The objective is to reduce this problem with non standard boundary conditions to problems with Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions. The idea is to
pre–compute a set of solutions with Neumann boundary conditions on each \( \Gamma_i \), and then to define the solution as a linear combination of these solutions and of a correction term. This correction term solves also a Stokes problem with standard boundary conditions, the coefficients of the linear combination being calculated so that the solution satisfies the dissipative boundary conditions.

More precisely, thanks to the linearity of the system at each time step, the solution \((u^n, p^n)\) of (19) can be decomposed under the shape

\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}^n &= \hat{\mathbf{u}}^n + \sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_i^n \mathbf{u}_i, \\
p^n &= \hat{p}^n + \sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_i^n p_i,
\end{aligned}
\]

(20)

where \((\mathbf{u}_i)_{i=0}^{N}\) and \((p_i)_{i=0}^{N}\) do not depend on time, unlike \(\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}\) and \(\hat{p}^{n+1}\) that are correction terms calculated at each time step:

- For all \(i = 0, \ldots, N\), the solution \((\mathbf{u}_i, p_i)\) is calculated in the pre–processing step (21)

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\rho u_i}{\partial t} - \mu \Delta u_i + \nabla p_i &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_i &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\mathbf{u}_i &= 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_\ell, \\
\mu \nabla u_i \cdot \mathbf{n} - p_i \mathbf{n} &= 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_j, \text{ for } j \neq i, \\
\mu \nabla u_i \cdot \mathbf{n} - p_i \mathbf{n} &= -\mathbf{n}, & \text{on } \Gamma_i.
\end{aligned}
\]

(21)

- Then, at each time step, \(\hat{\mathbf{u}}^n\) takes into account the unsteady term

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\rho \hat{\mathbf{u}}^n}{\partial t} - \mu \Delta \hat{\mathbf{u}}^n + \nabla \hat{p}^n &= \frac{\rho \mathbf{u}^{n-1}}{\partial t}, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}^n &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\hat{\mathbf{u}}^n &= 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_\ell, \\
\mu \nabla \hat{\mathbf{u}}^n \cdot \mathbf{n} - \hat{p}^n \mathbf{n} &= 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_i, \text{ for } i = 0, \ldots, N.
\end{aligned}
\]

(22)

- Finally the coefficients \(\alpha_i^n\) are chosen such that the boundary conditions on the outlets \(\Gamma_i\) are satisfied. This implies that the \(\alpha_i^n, i = 0, \ldots, N\) are solution of a linear system.

**Linear system satisfied by \((\alpha_i^n)_{0 \leq i \leq N}\):**

- On \(\Gamma_0\), we have \((\mu \nabla \mathbf{u}^n - p^n) \mathbf{n} = -F^n \mathbf{n} - R_0^t \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{u}^n \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) \mathbf{n}\). Using the relation (20), we obtain

\[
(\mu \nabla \hat{\mathbf{u}}^n - \hat{p}^n) \mathbf{n} + \sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_i^n (\mu \nabla \mathbf{u}_i - p_i) \mathbf{n} = -F^n \mathbf{n} - R_0^t \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \hat{\mathbf{u}}^n \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) \mathbf{n} - R_0^t \sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_i^n \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) \mathbf{n}.
\]
Thanks to the boundary condition of the problems (21) and (22), we find
\[
\alpha_n^0 = F^n + R_0^{st} \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \tilde{u}^n \cdot n \right) + R_0^{st} \sum_{i=0}^N \alpha_i^n \left( \int_{\Gamma_i} u_i \cdot n \right).
\] (23)

On \( \Gamma_j, j \neq 0 \), in the same way, we obtain
\[
\alpha_j^n = R_j \left( \int_{\Gamma_j} \tilde{u}^n \cdot n \right) + R_j \sum_{i=0}^N \alpha_i^n \left( \int_{\Gamma_i} u_i \cdot n \right).
\] (24)

Let us denote by \( b^n = (b_j^n)_{0 \leq j \leq N} \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \) the vector defined by
\[
\begin{cases}
    b_0^n &= F^n + R_0^{st} \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \tilde{u}^n \cdot n \right), \\
    b_j^n &= R_j \left( \int_{\Gamma_j} \tilde{u}^n \cdot n \right), \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, N,
\end{cases}
\] (25)

and by \( A = (a_{ij})_{0 \leq i,j \leq N} \) the following matrix
\[
a_{ij} = \tilde{R}_i \left( \int_{\Gamma_i} u_j \cdot n \right), \text{ for } i,j = 0, \ldots, N,
\] (26)

where \( \tilde{R}_0 = R_0^{st} \) and \( \tilde{R}_i = R_i \) if \( i \neq 0 \).

As a consequence, at each time iteration, \((\alpha_i^n)_{0 \leq i \leq N}\) is the solution of the linear system
\[
(I - A) \cdot x = b^n.
\] (27)

We can prove easily that the matrix \((I - A)\) is invertible, see [6].

**Remark 2.1.** The method used is based on the linearity of the problem. Nevertheless, it enables us to deal with these non-standard boundary conditions by solving only standard problems.

**2.2. Extension to Navier–Stokes problem**

We are now interested in the initial problem (11), i.e., we add a convection term \((u \cdot \nabla)u\) in the fluid momentum equation. The previous method can be used only for linear problems, nevertheless it can be adapted. We use the same pre-processing step computing the solutions \((u_i)_{N+i=0}^N\) of the Stokes problem (14). In order to be able to apply the same techniques as for the Stokes problem, we either treat the nonlinear term explicitly or with the characteristic method. The first one requires a small time step, this is why we choose the second one. The discretization of the first line of (19) becomes
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\tilde{u}^n}{\rho} - \mu \Delta \tilde{u}^n + \nabla \tilde{p}^n &= \frac{\rho}{\delta t} u^{n-1} \circ X^{n-1}, \quad \text{in } \Omega,
\end{align*}
\] (28)

where \( u^{n-1} \circ X^{n-1} = u^{n-1}(x - u^{n-1}(x) \delta t) \) and \( x \) is the generic point in \( \mathbb{R}^d \).

**Remark 2.2.** Concerning the correction solution \( \tilde{u}^{n+1} \), the resolution can be made by different methods, for instance based on a mixed variational formulation or using a projection method.
The projection method of Chorin [4], [5] (see also Temam [19] and Quartapelle [14]) is frequently employed for the numerical solution of the primitive variable Navier–Stokes equations. This method is based on a time-discretization of the equations governing viscous incompressible flows, in which the viscosity and the incompressibility of the fluid are treated within two separate steps. This allows to decouple the computation of the fluid pressure from the one of the fluid velocity.

In this paper, we choose to solve the problem by a mixed method. The mixed formulation is obtained by multiplying (28) by \((v, q) \in H^1(\Omega)^d \times L^2(\Omega)\), where \(v\) vanish on \(\Gamma_\ell\). Thanks to the incompressibility and the boundary conditions, we obtain

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\rho}{\delta t} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{u}^{n+1} \cdot v - \mu \int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{u}^{n+1} : \nabla v - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\rho^{n+1} \circ X^{n+1} \cdot v) \\
- \int_{\Omega} q \nabla \cdot \tilde{u}^{n+1} &= 0, \quad \forall q \in L^2(\Omega).
\end{aligned}
\]

(29)

### 3. Numerical simulations

The method described before has been implemented in FreeFEM++ (see [11]). We used the mini–element for the space discretization of Navier–Stokes system, see for instance [1]. We have performed numerical calculations in a 2D idealized bronchial tree (until 3rd-generation, see for instance Fig. 7). Note that the geometrical quantities that define this 2D tree are chosen such that the resistance of the 2D tree is equal to the resistance of a realistic 3D tree (see for instance [18]). In order to have this conservation we will also modify the fluid viscosity (see below). To perform our simulations, we will use the following data

- **Mesh Size or geometry:** we use a triangulation composed of
  - \(N_{\text{vertices}} = 9996\) vertices,
  - \(N_{\text{triang}} = 17340\) triangles and
  - \(N_{\text{edges}} = 2650\) edges.
  - The tree geometry is included in a box \([-0, 22939, 0, 24739] \times [-0, 35569, 0]\).

- **Physiological data (Fluid and spring parameters):** in order to reproduce experimental curves, we have used physiological data taken close to those from [3], [12]
  - \(m = 0.3\) kg, the total mass of the lung.
  - \(S = 0.011\) m\(^2\), the surface of the moving boundary box (diaphragm surface).
  - \(E = 3.32 \times 10^5\) N \cdot m\(^{-1}\), the lung elastance.
  - \(k_0 = E \cdot S^2 = 40.172\) N \cdot m\(^{-1}\), the stiffness of the spring.
  - \(R_{\text{out}} = 1.33 \times 10^5\) Pa \cdot s \cdot m\(^{-3}\), the resistance at each outlet \(\Gamma_i, i \geq 0\).
  - \(R_{\text{in}} = 1.12 \times 10^5\) Pa \cdot s \cdot m\(^{-3}\), the resistance at the inlet (trachea).

For the fluid viscosity \(\mu\) and the fluid density \(\rho\), we do not choose the physical values but instead choose values such that the global resistance of the 2D tree is equal to the global resistance of the 3D tree,
and the 2D fluid Reynolds number is also equal to the 3D fluid Reynolds number (see [18]). Consequently

- \( \mu = 0.004 \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{s} \cdot \text{m} \),

- \( \rho = 50 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} \).
3.1. The simplest case

We perform calculations in a case when there is no external force. First the resistance at the inlet is equal to $R_{\text{in}}$ and the resistances $R_i$ at the outlets are all taken equal to $R_{\text{out}}$. Moreover, the spring stiffness $k$ is equal to $k_0$. Initially, we suppose that the spring is elongated such that $x(0) = 0.1$ m. We plot in Fig. 3, the volume variations $V = Sx$ and the air flow through boundary $\Gamma_0$ with respect time.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig3.png}
\caption{Volume variation $V = Sx$ (in m$^3$) and air flow (in m$^3$ \cdot s$^{-1}$) through boundary $\Gamma_0$ versus time (in s).}
\end{figure}

In order to visualize the influence of the stiffness on the system we plot the volume variation $V = Sx$ and the air flow through boundary $\Gamma_0$ versus time for different values of $k$, $35 < k < 75$ N \cdot m$^{-1}$ (See Fig. 4).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig4.png}
\caption{Volume variation $V = Sx$ (in m$^3$) and air flow (in m$^3$ \cdot s$^{-1}$) through boundary $\Gamma_0$ versus time (in s) for different values of $k$.}
\end{figure}

We also perform simulations for different values of the resistance $R_i$ at each outlet $\Gamma_i$, with $k = k_0$. 

Figure 5. Volume variation $V = Sx$ (in $m^3$) and air flow (in $m^3 \cdot s^{-1}$) through boundary $\Gamma_0$ versus time (in s) for different values of $R_i$, $R_7 = R_8 = R_0 \times 10^s$, $s = -2, 0, 3, 5$.

Comments:

- When $k$ is large, the maximal air flux is larger and the spring goes back to its reference position faster (see Fig. 4).
- We note that the change of the values of the resistances for only two outlets (7th and 8th) does not seem to modify significantly the spring relaxation (see Fig. 5).

3.2. Forced respiration

Here we present numerical results obtained in the case of forced maneuvers. These maneuvers are usually performed on patients in order to detect the pathology they suffer. In this case the force $f_{ext}$ applied to the spring is given in Fig. 6.

Figure 6. External force $f$ (in N) versus time (in s).

Note that the first part of the maneuver, for $0 < t < 8$ s corresponds to respiration at rest. In order to simulate experience of a patient performing forced maneuvers and in order to try to reproduce some physiological data, we choose to use, in our numerical simulations, a stiffness and resistances depending on the volume of the
lung (and thus on $x$), see [12]:

$$k(x) = k + \begin{cases} 
(f_{\text{min}}/x_{\text{min}} - k)x/x_{\text{min}}, & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\
(f_{\text{max}}/x_{\text{max}} - k)x/x_{\text{max}}, & \text{if } x \geq 0,
\end{cases}$$

(30)

where

- $x$ is, as before, the spring displacement relatively to the equilibrium position. At any time, we have $x_{\text{min}} \leq x \leq x_{\text{max}}$, and we take $x_{\text{min}} = -0.25$ m and $x_{\text{max}} = 0.2$ m,

- $k_0$ is, as before, a spring constant, which measures the stiffness related to stretching forces so that the lung comes back to rest spontaneously,

- the external force $f_{\text{ext}}$ is a piecewise constant force, with $f_{\text{min}} \leq f_{\text{ext}} \leq f_{\text{max}}$, $f_{\text{min}} = -11$ N and $f_{\text{max}} = 13$ N (See Fig. 6),

and

$$R_i(x) = \frac{R_i}{1 + \theta Sx/V_0^B}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N,$$

(31)

where

- $R_i$ is the airways resistance of the $i$th subtree at rest, which measures the resistive forces in the bronchial subtree.

- The parameter $\theta$ describes the distribution of the air volume $Sx$ into the bronchi and the alveoli. The total variation of volume $\delta V = Sx$ is the sum of $\delta V_A$ (for the alveoli) and $\delta V_B$ (for the bronchi) with $\delta V_A = (1 - \theta)Sx$, $\delta V_B = \theta Sx$.

In what follows, we denote by $V_{A0}$ (resp. $V_{B0}$) the air volume in the alveoli (resp. in the bronchi) at rest. See again [18] for more details on this model.

The distribution parameter default value is around 0.4 for a human lungs. When it is lower it may indicate an important smooth muscle activity, in fact a smaller value shows a smaller bronchial tree volume variation, that is to say an important smooth muscle activity.

### 3.3. A reference case: non pathological data

In the case where the external force $f_{\text{ext}}$ described at Fig. 6 is applied, we compute the air volume in the lung variation and the air flow at the mouth (see Fig. 8) for $\theta = 0.011$, $R_i = R_{\text{ext}}$ and $k = k_0$. We plot also the isolevels of air velocity and pressure in the bronchial tree (see Fig. 7) at time $t = 20$s. The phase portrait (see Fig. 9) is typically the curve obtained by spirometry that lung specialists are used to read. It provides them information on the pathologies a patient may suffer.
Figure 7. Air velocity (in m · s$^{-1}$) and pressure (in Pa) for “average data” in the lung at $t = 20\text{s}$.
3.4. **Study of sensitivity**

For a better understanding of different lung pathologies, we want to observe the influence of the stiffness, of the parameter $\theta$ and of the resistance, in particular on the phase portrait. First, we choose to take different value of the stiffness $k$ (see Fig. 10), with $\theta = 0.011$ and $R_i = R_{\text{out}}$. Next, we modify $\theta$ for $R_i = R_{\text{out}}$, and $k = k_0$ fixed. Then, we choose to modify the resistances $R_i$ at the outlets $\Gamma_i$, with $k = k_0$ and $\theta = 0.011$. In this case, we plot the air flow velocity and pressure isovalue (see Fig. 12) at time $t = 20s$. These plots have to be compared to the one in the previous case, where all the resistances were equal (Fig. 7). Fig. 13, shows the influence of the resistances changes on the phase portrait.
Figure 10. Flow (in m$^3 \cdot s^{-1}$) – Volume (in m$^3$) diagram for different values of $k$ (see (30)).

\begin{align*}
    k &= 40.172 + 10(s - 3), \\
    s &= 0, 2, 4, 6.
\end{align*}

Figure 11. Flow (in m$^3 \cdot s^{-1}$) – Volume (in m$^3$) diagram for different values of $\theta$ between $\theta_{\text{min}} = -0.13636$ and $\theta_{\text{max}} = 0.10909$ (given by $x_{\text{min}}$, $x_{\text{max}}$ and formula (31)).

Comments:

- The sensitivity of the phase portrait of the coupled model seems to be more important for spring stiffness $k$ and the parameter $\theta$ than for the resistances $R_i$.
- Nevertheless, we can see that at the outlets where we have increased the resistances $R_i$, a reduction of the flow in the corresponding bronchus is observed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vec Value</th>
<th>IsoValue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1368e-05</td>
<td>-0.110444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2736e-05</td>
<td>-0.108277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.41041e-05</td>
<td>-0.10611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.54721e-05</td>
<td>-0.103943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.68401e-05</td>
<td>-0.101776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.82081e-05</td>
<td>-0.0996088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.95761e-05</td>
<td>-0.0974417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.09441e-05</td>
<td>-0.0952747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000102312</td>
<td>-0.0931076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0909405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 12. Air velocity (in m·s\(^{-1}\)) and pressure (in Pa) in the lung at \( t = 20s \), for \( i = 1 .. 6 \), \( R_i = R_{out} \) on \( \Gamma_i \) (see (31)) and on \( \Gamma_7 \) and \( \Gamma_8 \), \( R_i = R_{out} \times 10^6 \).
Figure 13. Flow (in $m^3 \cdot s^{-1}$) – Volume (in $m^3$) diagram for different values of $R_a$ (see (31)) the resistances at the outlets on $\Gamma_7$ and $\Gamma_8$. For $i = 1..6$, $R_i = R_{out}$ on $\Gamma_i$ and on $\Gamma_7$ and $\Gamma_8$, $R_i = R_{out} \times 10^2(s-1)$.

CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

From a biological point of view, we note that we obtain some phase portraits that are comparable to the ones that can be found in [12]. The comparison of the results for different values of $\theta$, $k$, and $R_i$ are encouraging for a future better understanding of lung pathologies such as asthma (modification of $\theta$), or emphysema (modification of $k$). Moreover, 2D simulations cannot reproduce all the 3D effects and 3D simulations have to be performed and exploited. Furthermore, note that other non linear spring models should maybe be developed in order to capture all the complexity of the phenomenon.

Concerning the numerical method, we have developed a method that could be easily implemented in any solver. There are two main drawbacks of our method. First, we can deal with the convection term only using an explicit method or a characteristic method (which has been chosen here). Secondly, this method is quite slow. A way to accelerate would be to choose a suitable resolution method for the Navier–Stokes problem such as a projection method to accelerate the solver (see [6, 14, 17]).
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