A MODEL FOR MENDELIAN POPULATIONS DEMOGENETICS

Camille Coron

Abstract. This proceeding introduces and studies a diffusion process that models the demogenetic behavior of a diploid population with sexual Mendelian reproduction. This process is defined as the slow limit of a slow-fast dynamics derived from the rescaling of a birth-and-death process with interactions.

Résumé. Cet acte de conférence introduit et étudie un processus de diffusion modélisant le comportement démo-génétique d’une population diploïde à reproduction sexuée. Ce processus est obtenu comme la limite lente d’une dynamique stochastique lente-rapide obtenue par changement d’échelle d’un processus de naissance et mort avec interactions.

1. CONTEXT

We are interested in modeling and studying the demogenetic dynamics of a diploid Mendelian population with competition. Our motivation is more precisely to understand first, the interactions between population size and population genetics dynamics, and second, the impact of sexual reproduction on population demogenetics. This proceeding is based on the article [8] in which proofs of the presented results are detailed, though we consider here a slightly more general model, taking into account breeding preferences.

Let us consider a population of diploid individuals that are characterized by their genotype at one locus for which there exist 2 alleles, A and a (also denoted respectively 1 and 2). We study the genetic dynamics of the population, i.e. the dynamics of the respective numbers of individuals with genotype AA, Aa, and aa. First, let us recall some models of genetic or demogenetic dynamics of diploid and/or haploid populations.

The following well-known neutral Wright-Fisher diffusion defined in [22] models the dynamics of a bi-allelic locus in a haploid population with constant size. In this model, each individual has genotype A or a, $X_t$ is the proportion of alleles A at time $t$, $N_e$ is the (constant) effective population size, $s$ is the selective advantage of alleles A over alleles a and $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion.

$$dX_t = \sqrt{\frac{X_t(1-X_t)}{N_e}} dB_t + sX_t(1-X_t)dt. \quad (1)$$

This diffusion is obtained by the rescaling of a discrete time population model with constant size and non overlapping generations. For diploid populations, an analogous version of this model is presented notably
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in [10], p. 408. More precisely, the selective advantage of genotype $AA$ (resp. $Aa$) over genotype $aa$ is denoted by $s$ (resp. $hs$, $h$ is called the dominance coefficient) and the frequency $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of alleles $A$ then satisfies

$$dX_t = \sqrt{X_t(1-X_t)}dB_t + s(h + (1-2h)X_t)X_t(1-X_t)dt.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Wright-Fisher diffusions are therefore equivalent for haploid populations and for diploid populations with additive selection (i.e. when $h = 1/2$), when changing the effective haploid population size $N_e$ to $2N_e$ and the haploid selection coefficient $s$ to $s/2$. In other words, studying the genetic dynamics of a population of diploid individuals with constant effective size and additive selection is equivalent to studying the set of alleles carried by these individuals.

Now, [3] studied the following model of haploid population with one bi-allelic locus, stochastically varying size, clonal reproduction, and interaction. In this model, each individual has genotype $A$ or $a$, and the process $(N_t^h, X_t^h)_{t \geq 0}$ models simultaneously the dynamics of population size and of the proportion of alleles $A$ in the population:

$$dN_t^h = \sqrt{2\gamma N_t^h}dB_t^1 + N_t^h [\beta - \delta + sX_t^h - N_t^h (c_{11}(X_t^h)^2 + c_{22}(1-X_t^h)^2 + (c_{12} + c_{21})X_t^h(1-X_t^h))]dt,$$

$$dX_t^h = \sqrt{2\gamma X_t^h(1-X_t^h)}N_t^h dB_t^2 + X_t^h(1-X_t^h) [s - N_t^h (c_{11}X_t^h - c_{22}(1-X_t^h) + c_{12}(1-X_t^h) - c_{21}X_t^h)]dt.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

Here $(B_t^1, B_t^2)_{t \geq 0}$ is a standard bidimensional Brownian motion, $\beta - \delta$ (resp. $\beta - \delta + s$) is the growth rate of individuals with genotype $a$ (resp. $A$), and $c_{ij}$ is the competition rate of an individual with genotype $j$ over an individual with genotype $i$ (we recall that alleles $A$ and $a$ can also be respectively denoted by 1 and 2).

In this work we introduce a diffusion model to study the demogenetic dynamics of a diploid population with sexual reproduction. This diffusion (given in Equation (4)) is obtained as the slow limiting dynamics of a rescaled birth-and-death process with competition and Mendelian reproduction. More precisely, the population is initially modeled by a 3-type birth-and-death process denoted by $\nu^K = (\nu^K_t, t \geq 0)$. Following an infinite population size approximation (as in [4] notably) we assume that the initial number of individuals is of order $K$ where $K$ is a scale parameter that will go to infinity and we consider the sequence of stochastic processes $Z^K = \nu^K/K$. At each time $t$ and for all $K$, we define the deviation $Y^K_t$ of the population $Z^K$ from a so-called Hardy-Weinberg structure.

Under a weak-selection regime, the sequence of stochastic processes $(Z^K)_{K \geq 1}$ converges toward a slow-fast dynamics: the sequence of random variables $(Y^K_t)_{K \in \{1,2,\ldots\}}$ goes to 0 as $K$ goes to infinity, while the sequence of processes $(N^K_t, X^K_t)_{t \geq 0}$ giving respectively the population size and the proportion of alleles $A$ converges in law toward the 2-dimensional diffusion process $(N_t, X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ we are interested in. This convergence toward Hardy-Weinberg structure was obtained for deterministic models in [19] and for stochastic models with large constant population size in [18] (Chap. 4.10) and therefore remains true with stochastic population size dynamics. However, due to this stochasticity, the limiting diffusion $(N_t, X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is not equivalent to the corresponding haploid one obtained from [3], contrarily to what was shown previously for populations with constant population size (Equations (1) and (2)).

In Section 3, absorption behaviors of diploid and haploid populations are compared. Due to competition, these populations get extinct almost surely in finite time and we therefore study the quasi-stationary behavior of the diffusion process $(N_t, X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ conditioned on the non extinction of the population. In particular, we present numerical applications that show the long-time coexistence of the two alleles for three biologically relevant cases: a pure competition neutral case, a case in which each genotype has its own ecological niche, and an overdominance case. Notably, we show that a long-term coexistence of alleles is possible even in some full competition cases, which is not true for haploid clonal reproduction, as proved in [3].
2. Model and slow-fast dynamics

2.1. Model

The population at any time \( t \) is represented by a 3-dimensional birth-and-death process giving the respective numbers of individuals with genotype \( AA \), \( Aa \) and \( aa \). As in [6,15] or [7], we consider an infinite population size approximation. To this end we index the population by a scaling parameter \( K \in \{1,2,\ldots\} \) that will go to infinity. The initial numbers of individuals of each type will be of order \( K \) and we then consider the rescaled stochastic processes

\[
(Z^K_t)_{t \geq 0} = \left(Z^1_t, Z^2_t, Z^3_t\right)_{t \geq 0}
\]

giving for each time \( t \) the respective numbers of individuals with genotypes \( AA \), \( Aa \) and \( aa \) divided by \( K \). As in [7] or [9], the birth rates of \((Z^K_t)_{t \geq 0}\) model Mendelian reproduction. More precisely, if we set \( e_1 = (1,0,0) \), \( e_2 = (0,1,0) \) and \( e_3 = (0,0,1) \), then for all \( i \in \{1,2,3\} \), the rates \( \lambda_i^K(Z) \) at which the stochastic process \( Z^K \) jumps from \( z = (z_1,z_2,z_3) \in \left(\frac{(Z_+)^3}{K}\right) \) to \( z + e_i/K \), as long as \( z_1 + z_2 + z_3 = n \neq 0 \), are given by:

\[
\lambda_1^K (z) = \frac{Kb^K_1}{n} \left[ r_{11}^K z_1^2 + r_{12}^K z_1 z_2 + r_{13}^K z_1 z_3 \right],
\]

\[
\lambda_2^K (z) = \frac{2Kb^K_2}{n} \left[ r_{12}^K z_1 z_2 + r_{21}^K z_2^2 + r_{22}^K z_2 z_3 + r_{23}^K z_2 z_3 \right],
\]

\[
\lambda_3^K (z) = \frac{Kb^K_3}{n} \left[ r_{31}^K z_1 z_3 + r_{32}^K z_2 z_3 + r_{33}^K z_3^2 \right].
\]

These birth rates are naturally set to 0 if \( n = 0 \), and the demographic parameters \( r_{ij}^K = r_{ji}^K \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) (resp. \( b_i^K \)) model fertility and breeding preferences (resp. viability at birth) of individuals. Now individuals can die either naturally or due to competition with other individuals. More precisely, for all \( i \in \{1,2,3\} \), the rates \( \mu_i^K(z) \) at which the stochastic process \( Z^K \) jumps from \( z = (z_1,z_2,z_3) \in \left(\frac{(Z_+)^3}{K}\right) \) to \( z - e_i/K \) for \( i \in \{1,2,3\} \) are given by:

\[
\mu_1^K (z) = K z_1 (d_1^K + K (c_{11}^K z_1 + c_{12}^K z_2 + c_{13}^K z_3)),
\]

\[
\mu_2^K (z) = K z_2 (d_2^K + K (c_{21}^K z_1 + c_{22}^K z_2 + c_{23}^K z_3)),
\]

\[
\mu_3^K (z) = K z_3 (d_3^K + K (c_{31}^K z_1 + c_{32}^K z_2 + c_{33}^K z_3)).
\]

The demographic parameter \( d_i^K \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) (resp. \( c_{ij}^K \)) is called the intrinsic death rate of individuals of type \( i \) (resp. the competition rate of individuals of type \( j \) over type \( i \)). From now on, we say that the stochastic process \( Z^K \) is neutral if its demographic parameters do not depend on individuals genotypes, i.e.

\[
b_i^K = b^K, \quad r_{ij}^K = 1, \quad d_i^K = d^K \quad \text{and} \quad c_{ij}^K = c^K \quad \forall i,j \in \{1,2,3\}.
\]

The pure jump process \((Z^K_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is well defined for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) and is a \( \left(\frac{(Z_+)^3}{K}\right) \)-valued pure jump Markov process absorbed at \((0,0,0)\), with trajectories in the space \( \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, (Z_+)^3/K) \) of left-limited and right-continuous functions from \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) to \( (Z_+)^3/K \), endowed with the Skorohod topology. The infinitesimal generator \( L^K \) of \( Z^K \) satisfies for every bounded measurable function \( f \) from \( (Z_+)^3/K \) to \( \mathbb{R} \) and for every \( z \in (Z_+)^3/K \):

\[
L^K f(z) = \sum_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} \left[ \lambda_i^K (z) \left( f \left( z + \frac{e_i}{K} \right) - f(z) \right) + \mu_i^K (z) \left( f \left( z - \frac{e_i}{K} \right) - f(z) \right) \right].
\]

To end with model description, let us introduce three quantities of interest. First, the rescaled population size at time \( t \) and the proportion of alleles \( A \) at time \( t \) are respectively denoted by
\( N_t^K = Z_t^{1,K} + Z_t^{2,K} + Z_t^{3,K} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) and \( X_t^K = \frac{2Z_t^{1,K} + Z_t^{2,K}}{2(Z_t^{1,K} + Z_t^{2,K} + Z_t^{3,K})} \in [0,1]. \)

Next, let us define the stochastic processes \( (Y_t^K, t \geq 0) \) such that for every \( t \geq 0 \), as long as \( N_t^K > 0 \),

\[
Y_t^K = \frac{4Z_t^{1,K}Z_t^{3,K} - (Z_t^{2,K})^2}{4N_t^K}.
\]

If \( N_t^K = 0 \), we naturally set \( Y_t^K = 0 \) as \( |Y_t^K| \leq N_t^K \) for all \( t \geq 0 \). This stochastic process will play a main role afterwards; note first that

\[
Y_t^K = Z_t^{1,K} \left( 1 - \frac{(2Z_t^{1,K} + Z_t^{2,K})^2}{4N_t^K} \right) = \left( p_t^{AA,K} - (X_t^K)^2 \right) N_t^K
\]

if \( p_t^{AA,K} \) is the proportion of genotype \( AA \) in the population at time \( t \). Similarly,

\[
Y_t^K = \left( p_t^{aa,K} - (1 - X_t^K)^2 \right) N_t^K = \left( 2X_t^K(1 - X_t^K) - p_t^{Aa,K} \right) N_t^K.
\]

Then if \( Y_t^K = 0 \), the proportion of each genotype in the population is equal to the proportion of pairs of alleles forming this genotype. If \( Y_t^K = 0 \) the population \( Z_t^K \) is called to satisfy Hardy-Weinberg structure. We prove easily that the triplet \((N_t^K, X_t^K, Y_t^K)\) characterizes the population at time \( t \) and from now on, we will mostly use this representation.

### 2.2. Slow-fast stochastic dynamics and limiting diffusion

In this section, we investigate a diffusive scaling under which both population size and proportion of alleles \( a \) evolve stochastically with time (in particular the population can get extinct and one of the two alleles can eventually get fixed), while the population still converges rapidly toward Hardy-Weinberg structure.

We assume that individual birth and natural death rates are of order \( K \), while \( Z_0^K \) converges in law toward a random vector \( Z_0 \) as \( K \to \infty \). More precisely, we set for \( \gamma > 0 \) and for a \((\mathbb{R}_+)^3\)-valued random variable \( Z_0 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
b_t^K &= \gamma K + \beta_t \in [0,\infty[ \\
r_{ij}^K &= 1 + \frac{\rho_{ij}}{K} \in [0,\infty[ \\
d_t^i &= \gamma K + \delta_t \in [0,\infty[ \\
c_{ij}^K &= \frac{\alpha_{ij}}{K} \in \mathbb{R} \\
Z_0^K &\xrightarrow{K\to\infty} Z_0 \quad \text{in law.}
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore birth and natural death events are now happening faster and compensate each other, which will introduce some stochasticity in the limiting process. A biological interpretation for the scaling of the interaction coefficients \( c_{ij}^K \) is that a constant quantity of resources is shared by small individuals whose biomass is equal to \( 1/K \) (as presented in [5]); these coefficients will only step in limiting drift terms. Under this scaling of demographic parameters, \( Y^K \) will be a “fast” variable that converges directly toward the long time equilibrium of \( \mathcal{Y} \) (equal to 0, as studied in [13, 14, 17]), while \( X^K \) and \( N^K \) will be “slow” variables, converging toward a non-deterministic process.

Population size stochasticity induces difficulties linked to both population extinction and population size control. From now on, we assume the following initial 3-rd-order moments condition:
Lemma 1 of [4] and the proof of Theorem 5.3 of [15], ensure the propagation of population size 3-order moments control, which is needed to prove convergence toward a slow-fast dynamics. By domination, this result is generalized in [8] in a case where cooperation is also possible but competition remains stronger. Then, the following proposition gives that \( (Y^K_t, t \geq 0) \) is a fast variable that converges toward the deterministic value 0 as \( K \) goes to infinity.

**Proposition 2.1.** Under (H1), for all \( s, t > 0 \), \( \sup_{t-u \leq t+u} \mathbb{E}((Y^K_u)^2) \to 0 \) as \( K \) goes to infinity.

The proof of this proposition relies on Kolmogorov-forward equation, and we now study the behavior of the sequence of remaining stochastic processes \( (N^K_0, X^K_0)_{t \geq 0}) \).

**Theorem 2.2.** For any \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( T > 0 \), let \( T^K_\epsilon = \inf\{t \in [0, T] : N^K_t \leq \epsilon\} \). If the sequence of remaining random variables \( (N^K_0, X^K_0) \in [\epsilon, +\infty] \times [0, 1] \) converges in law toward a random variable \( (N_0, X_0) \in [\epsilon, +\infty] \times [0, 1] \) as \( K \) goes to infinity, then the sequence of stopped stochastic processes \( \{(N^K_0, X^K_0)_{\wedge T^K_\epsilon} \}_{K \geq 1} \) converges in law in \( \mathbb{D}([0, T], [\epsilon, +\infty] \times [0, 1]) \) as \( K \) goes to infinity, toward the stopped diffusion process \( (N, \tilde{X})_{\wedge T}, (T_\epsilon = \inf\{t \in [0, T] : N_t = \epsilon\}) \), starting from \( (N_0, X_0) \) and satisfying the following diffusion equation:

\[
dN_t = \sqrt{2\gamma N_t} dB^1_t \\
+ N_t \left[ X_t^2 (\beta_1 - \delta_1) + 2X_t (1 - X_t) (\beta_2 - \delta_2) + (1 - X_t)^2 (\beta_3 - \delta_3) \\
+ \gamma \left[ \rho_{11} X_t^2 + 4\rho_{12} X_t^2 (1 - X_t) + 4\rho_{22} X_t^2 (1 - X_t)^2 + 2\rho_{13} X_t^2 (1 - X_t)^3 + \rho_{33} (1 - X_t)^4 \right] \\
- N_t \left[ (\alpha_{11} X_t^2 + \alpha_{12} 2X_t (1 - X_t) + \alpha_{31} (1 - X_t)^2) \\
+ 2X_t (1 - X_t) (\alpha_{12} X_t^2 + \alpha_{22} 2X_t (1 - X_t) + \alpha_{32} (1 - X_t)^2) \\
+ (1 - X_t)^2 (\alpha_{13} X_t^2 + \alpha_{23} 2X_t (1 - X_t) + \alpha_{33} (1 - X_t)^2) \right] dt \\
+ X_t \left[ (\beta_1 - \delta_1) X_t + (\beta_2 - \delta_2) (1 - 2X_t) + (\beta_3 - \delta_3) (1 - X_t) \\
+ \gamma \left[ \rho_{11} X_t^3 + 4\rho_{12} X_t^3 (3 - 4X_t) + 2\rho_{22} X_t^3 (1 - X_t) (1 - 2X_t) + \rho_{33} (1 - X_t)^3 (1 - 2X_t) \\
+ \rho_{13} X_t (1 - X_t) (1 - 2X_t) - \rho_{33} (1 - X_t)^3 \right] \\
- N_t \left[ (\alpha_{11} X_t + \alpha_{12} (1 - 2X_t) - \alpha_{31} (1 - X_t)) \\
+ 2X_t (1 - X_t) (\alpha_{21} X_t + \alpha_{22} (1 - 2X_t) - \alpha_{32} (1 - X_t)) \\
+ (1 - X_t)^2 (\alpha_{31} X_t + \alpha_{32} (1 - 2X_t) - \alpha_{33} (1 - X_t)) \right] dt,
\]

where \( (B^1_t, B^2_t)_{t \geq 0} \) is a 2-dimensional standard Brownian motion.

The diffusion equation (4) can be simplified in the neutral case:

**Remark 2.3.** If \( \beta_i = \beta, \rho_{ij} = 0, \delta_i = \delta \) and \( \alpha_{ij} = \alpha \) for all \( i, j \), the diffusion process \( (N_t, X_t)_{t \geq 0} \) satisfies:

\[
dN_t = \sqrt{2\gamma N_t} dB^1_t + N_t (\beta - \delta - \alpha N_t) dt \\
dX_t = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma X_t (1 - X_t)}{N_t}} dB^2_t.
\]
This diffusion can be seen as a Wright-Fisher diffusion (Equation (2)) associated to a population size evolving stochastically with time. This diffusion will later be compared (Remark 2.5 and next section) to the model of haploid population studied in [3] and recalled in Equation (3).

Elements of proof of Theorem 2.2. Stopping times $T_x^K$ are meant to deal with the explosion of the diffusion coefficient $\sqrt{\frac{2X_t(1-X_t)}{N_t}}$ when $N_t$ goes to 0. To avoid such complications, the convergence result is proved initially for the sequence of processes

\[
((2N^K_t(1-X^K_t), 2N^K_tX^K_t)_{t \geq 0})_{K \geq 1}
\]

giving the respective quantities of alleles $A$ and $a$, for which limiting diffusion coefficients are bounded near $\{N_t = 0\}$, and is next derived for the sequence $((N^K_t, X^K_t)_{t \geq 0})_{K \geq 1}$. The proof of the convergence for the sequence $((2N^K_t(1-X^K_t), 2N^K_tX^K_t)_{t \geq 0})_{K \geq 1}$, using the Rebolledo-Aldous criterion (Theorem 2.3.2 [16]), consists in proving first the tightness of this sequence of processes and second its convergence (using Proposition 2.1) toward the unique continuous solution of the appropriate martingale problem. \(\square\)

Remark 2.4. As noted in [11] (Remark 2.1), diploid additive and haploid selection are equivalent for populations with constant size. In our model, in an additive case for which $\beta_2 - \delta_2 = (\beta_1 - \delta_1) - s, \beta_3 - \delta_3 = (\beta_1 - \delta_1) - 2s, \rho_{ij} = 0$ and $\alpha_{ij} = \alpha$ for all $i, j$, the limiting diffusion $(N, X)$ given in Equation (4) satisfies:

\[
dN_t = \sqrt{2\gamma N_t dB_t^1 + N_t(\beta_1 - \delta_1 - \alpha N_t)} dt + 2s N_t X_t dt
\]

\[
dX_t = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma X_t(1-X_t)}{N_t}} dB_t^2 + s X_t(1-X_t) dt.
\]

In this case of diploid additive selection, the drift coefficient of the proportion $X_t$ has indeed the same form than the drift of a haploid Wright-Fisher diffusion with selection (Equation (1)). However, the following remark shows that due to interactions between demography and genetics, our model of diploid population with additive selection is different from the model of haploid population with stochastic population size of Equation (3).

Remark 2.5. Consider a neutral version of the haploid model given in Equation (3):

\[
dN_t^h = \sqrt{2\gamma N_t^h dB_t^1 + (\beta - \delta - \alpha N_t^h) N_t^h dt
\]

\[
dX_t^h = \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma X_t^h(1-X_t^h)}{N_t^h}} dB_t^2.
\]

The only difference between diploid and haploid neutral models is that the variation of proportion of alleles $A$ is divided by $\sqrt{2}$ in the diploid population (Equations (5) and (6)). However, the respective numbers of alleles $A$ and $a$ are directed by correlated Brownian motions in a diploid population which is not the case in a haploid population. Indeed, setting $N_t^{A,h} = N_t^h (1-X_t^h), N_t^{a,h} = N_t^h X_t^h, N_t^A = N_t (1-X_t)$ and $N_t^a = N_t X_t$, we obtain

\[
dN_t^{A,h} = \sqrt{2\gamma N_t^{A,h} dB_t^{1,h} + (\beta - \delta - \alpha (N_t^{A,h} + N_t^{a,h})) N_t^{A,h} dt}
\]

\[
dN_t^{a,h} = \sqrt{2\gamma N_t^{a,h} dB_t^{2,h} + (\beta - \delta - \alpha (N_t^{A,h} + N_t^{a,h})) N_t^{a,h} dt},
\]

where $(B_t^{1,h}, B_t^{2,h})_{t \geq 0}$ is a bidimensional standard Brownian motion, while
Let \( \tau \) be the fixation time of one of the two alleles for any process \((N_t, X_t)\), we study the long-time behavior of the diffusion process \((N_t, X_t)\) conditioned on non-extinction. \[ \mathbb{P}^{(N,X)}(T_0 < +\infty) = 1. \]

The long-time behavior of the diffusion process \((N_t, X_t)\) is therefore trivial, and we study alleles fixation before extinction (next proposition) and conditioned on non-extinction (Section 3.3).

**Proposition 3.1.** For all \( n > 0 \), \( \mathbb{P}^{(N,X)}(T^X_{\{0,1\}} < T_0) = 1. \)

**Remark 3.2.** The proof of the previous proposition in the neutral case (Equation (5)) relies on the following time change representation: if we define the time change \( \tau \) such that
\[
\int_0^{\tau(t)} \frac{1}{N_s} ds = t
\]
for all \( t \geq 0 \) and set \( \hat{X}_t = X_{\tau(t)} \) then \( \hat{X}_t \) is a diploid Wright-Fisher diffusion with effective size \( 1/2\gamma \). Similarly, \( (\hat{X}_t^h)_{t \geq 0} = (X_{\tau(t)}^h)_{t \geq 0} \) is a haploid Wright-Fisher diffusion with effective size \( 1/2\gamma \). In particular this representation implies from Equations (1) and (2) that alleles fixation time in a neutral haploid population with demographic parameters \( \gamma, \beta, \delta \) and \( \alpha \) is stochastically dominated by alleles fixation time in a neutral diploid population with same demographic parameters.

### 3.2. New change of variables

We now study the long-time behavior of the diffusion process \((N_t, X_t)\) conditioned on non-extinction, i.e. conditioned on not reaching the absorbing state \((0,0)\). In particular, we are interested in studying the possibility of a long-time coexistence of the two alleles \( A \) and \( a \) in the population conditioned on non-extinction. \[2\] and \[3\] studied the quasi-stationary behavior of multi-dimensional Kolmogorov diffusions (i.e. diffusion processes with unit diffusion coefficient and gradient drift). We therefore change variables to obtain a 2-dimensional Kolmogorov diffusion (under conditions on the interactions parameters that will be discussed later). Let us define \((S_t, t \geq 0) = ((S^1_t, S^2_t), t \geq 0)\), with
To begin with, simple calculations give the following proposition, illustrated in Figure 1.

**Proposition 3.3.** For all \( t \geq 0 \), one has \( S_t^2 \geq 0 \) and \( S_t^2 \geq u S_t^1 \), with \( u = \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \right) < 0 \).

![Figure 1](image.png)

**Remark 3.4.** Let us define for all \((s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2\), the sets

\[ A = \{ s_2 = 0, s_1 > 0 \}, \quad a = \{ s_2 = us_1, s_2 > 0 \} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = \{ s_1 = s_2 = 0 \}. \]

The events \( \{ S_t \in A \} \), \( \{ S_t \in a \} \), and \( \{ S_t \in 0 \} \) are respectively equal to the events \( \{ X_t = 1 \} \) (fixation of alleles \( A \)), \( \{ X_t = 0 \} \) (fixation of alleles \( a \)) and \( \{ N_t = 0 \} \) (extinction of the population).

We denote by \( D = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \triangleq \{ (s_1, s_2) : s_2 \geq us_1 \} \) the set of values taken by \( S_t \) for \( t \geq 0 \), \( \partial D = A \cup a \cup 0 \) its boundary in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), and \( T_D \) the hitting time of \( D \) by \( (S_t)_{t \geq 0} \) for any \( D \subset D \). \( 0, A \cup 0 \) and \( a \cup 0 \) are absorbing sets and are reached almost surely in finite time by \( (S_t)_{t \geq 0} \).

We define the following symmetry hypothesis for competition parameters:

\[ \alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ji}, \quad \forall i, j \in \{ 1, 2, 3 \}. \]  

\[ \text{(H2)} \]

From Itô’s formula, under (H2) the diffusion process \((S_t, t \geq 0)\) satisfies the following diffusion equation:

\[ dS_t = dW_t - \nabla Q(S_t) dt, \]

where the potential \( Q \) satisfies in the neutral case and for all \( s = (s_1, s_2) \in D \),

\[ Q(s) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\ln((s_1)^2 + (s_2)^2)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \sin \left( \sqrt{2} \arctan \left( \frac{s_2}{s_1} \right) \right) \right) \\
- (\beta - \delta - \frac{\alpha^2}{4}(s_1)^2 + (s_2)^2)) \frac{(s_1)^2 + (s_2)^2}{4} \end{cases} \]

if \( s_1 \geq 0 \)

\[ \frac{\ln((s_1)^2 + (s_2)^2)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \sin \left( \sqrt{2} \left( \arctan \left( \frac{s_2}{s_1} \right) + \pi \right) \right) \right) \\
- (\beta - \delta - \frac{\alpha^2}{4}(s_1)^2 + (s_2)^2)) \frac{(s_1)^2 + (s_2)^2}{4} \]

if \( s_1 \leq 0 \).

The corresponding potential \( Q \) in the non-neutral case is given in [8].
3.3. Quasi-stationary behavior

From [3] and since some additional properties of the potential $Q$ are proved in Proposition 8 of [8], the law of $S_t$ conditioned on $S_t \notin 0$ converges.

**Theorem 3.5.** There exists a unique probability measure $\nu_0$ on $D \setminus 0$ such that for all $s \in D \setminus \partial D$ and for all $E \subset D \setminus 0$,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_s^S(S_t \in E|T_0 > t) = \nu_0(E).$$

The quasi-stationary behavior of the diffusion process $((N_t, X_t), t \geq 0)$ conditioned on non extinction is then obtained easily since the variables $(N_t, X_t)$ are obtained from $(S^1_t, S^2_t)$ by a change of variables (Figure 1). Let us remind that we are interested in studying the possibility of a long-time coexistence of the two alleles $A$ and $a$ in the population conditioned on non-extinction. This means that we want to approximate the quasi-stationary distribution $\nu_X$ such that

$$\nu_X(\cdot) := \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{P}^{N,X}_{(n,x)}(X_t \in \cdot|N_t > 0)$$

and we are interested in knowing whether $\nu_X([0,1]) = 0$ or not. Indeed, if $\nu_X([0,1]) \neq 0$ then we can observe a long-time coexistence of the two alleles in the population conditional on non-extinction whereas if $\nu_X([0,1]) = 0$, no such coexistence is possible.

4. Numerical results

Numerical simulations of $\nu_X$ are obtained following the Fleming-Viot algorithm introduced in [1] and studied in [20,21]. This approach consists in approximating the conditioned distribution

$$\mathbb{P}^{N,X}_{(n,x)}((N_t, X_t) \in \cdot|T_0 > t)$$

by the empirical distribution of an interacting particle system. Here we present three biologically relevant examples. For each case, we plot the empirical distribution of proportion of alleles $a$, at a large time $T$. First, we consider a neutral competitive case (Equation (5)). Here, our simulation of the quasi-stationary distribution $\nu_X$ of the proportion $X$ is a sum of two Dirac measures in $0$ and $1$ (Figure 2), i.e. alleles $A$ and $a$ do not coexist in a long time limit. Second (Figure 3), we show a case in which individuals only compete with individuals with same genotype; this can happen if different genotypes feed differently and/or have different predators. In this case, we can observe either a coexistence of the two alleles $A$ and $a$ or an elimination of one of the alleles, since the distribution $\nu_X$ charges both $\{0\} \cup \{1\}$ and $[0,1]$. Third (Figure 4), we show an overdominance case:
Figure 3. Approximation of the quasi-stationary distribution $\nu_X$ of the proportion $X$ of alleles $a$ (Equation (7)), in a case where individuals with different genotypes do not compete or cooperate with each other. In this figure, $\beta_i = 1$, $\rho_{ij} = 0$, $\delta_i = 0$, $\alpha_{ii} = 0.1$ for all $i$, $\alpha_{ij} = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, and $T = 2500$.

every individual competes equally with every other ones but heterozygotes (individuals with genotype $Aa$) are favored compared to homozygotes (individuals with genotype $AA$ or $aa$), as their reproduction rate is higher. In this case, our simulation of the quasi-stationary distribution $\nu_X$ charges only points of $[0, 1]$, i.e. alleles $A$ and $a$ coexist with probability 1 or close to 1. This behavior is specific to the Mendelian reproduction: in [3], the authors proved that no coexistence of alleles is possible in a haploid population with clonal reproduction, if every individual is in competition with every other one.

Figure 4. Approximation of the quasi-stationary distribution $\nu_X$ of the proportion $X$ of alleles $a$ (Equation (7)), in an overdominance case. In this figure, $\beta_i = 1$ for all $i \neq 2$, $\beta_2 = 5$, $\delta_i = 0$ for all $i$, $\alpha_{ij} = 0.1$ for all $(i, j)$, and $T = 500$.
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